



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the amendment adequately address any economic effects?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Does the amendment address relevant bushfire risk?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the amendment meet the objective and give effect to the strategies to address the risk to life as a priority, property, community infrastructure and the natural environment from bushfire in the Planning Policy Framework (Clause 13.02 of the planning scheme)?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The amendment does not have any impact on the conditions set out to govern bushfire risk.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the view of the relevant fire authority been sought in formulating the amendment?</li> <li>If the planning scheme includes a Local Planning Policy Framework at Clause 20, is the amendment consistent with the Local Planning Policy Framework objectives and strategies that apply to bushfire risk?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is local policy for bushfire risk management required to support the amendment?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Does the amendment comply with all the relevant Minister's Directions?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the amendment comply with the requirements of the Ministerial Direction - The Form and Content of Planning Schemes?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The amendment has the main purpose to ensure that the planning scheme adheres to Section 7(5) of the <i>Planning and Environment Act, 1987</i> .	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Do any other Minister's Directions apply to the amendment? If so, have they been complied with?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the amendment accompanied by all of the information required by a Minister's Direction?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Does the amendment support or implement the PPF?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the amendment support or give effect to the PPF?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The amendment retains the existing PPF. It does not change the strategic direction of the PPF.</p> <p>The amendment supports the adopted state policy to improve sustainable methods of transport by introducing a new local policy 18.02-1L to encourage the development of sustainable transport routes.</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are there any competing PPF objectives and how are they balanced?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the amendment support or give effect to any relevant adopted state policy?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	<p>If the planning scheme includes a Municipal Planning Strategy (MPS) at Clause 02 and the amendment seeks to introduce or amend a local planning policy in the PPF:</p>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the new or amended local planning policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>respond to a demonstrated need?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The amendment assists the responsible authority in decision making by clarifying the specific purpose of ordinances and providing clear directions.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>implement a strategic direction in the MPS?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– relate to a specific discretion or group of discretions in the planning scheme? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– assist the responsible authority to make a decision? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– (assist any other person to understand whether a proposal is likely to be supported? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Does the amendment affect any existing local planning policy or tool? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Is a local planning policy necessary OR is the issue adequately covered by another planning tool or decision guideline? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> </ul>				
<p>Does the amendment support or implement the LPPF?</p> <p>*This strategic consideration only applies if the planning scheme includes an LPPF at Clause 20</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the amendment implement or support the MSS? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Does the amendment seek to change the objectives or strategies of the MSS? If so, what is the change? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• What effect will any change to the MSS have on the rest of the MSS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Is the amendment consistent/inconsistent with strategic directions elsewhere in the MSS? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– Has the cumulative effect of this amendment on the strategic directions in the MSS been considered? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Does the new or amended local planning policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– respond to a demonstrated need? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– implement an objective or strategy in the MSS? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– relate to a specific discretion or group of discretions in the scheme? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– assist the responsible authority to make a decision? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>– assist any other person to understand whether a proposal is likely to be supported? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Does the amendment affect any existing local planning policy or tool? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Is a local planning policy necessary OR is the issue covered by another planning tool or decision guideline? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></li> </ul>				
<p>Does the amendment support or implement the MPS?</p> <p>*This strategic consideration</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How does the amendment seek to implement or support the MPS? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Does the amendment seek to change the strategic directions of the MPS? If so, what is the change? <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></li> </ul>				<p>The amendment will not alter the strategic directions of the MPS. It will implement a formal recognition of the traditional owners of the land.</p>

<p>only applies if the planning scheme includes an MPS at Clause 02</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● What effect will any change to the MPS have on the rest of the MPS? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Is the amendment consistent/inconsistent with strategic directions elsewhere in the MPS?</li> <li>– Is the amendment consistent/inconsistent with strategic directions elsewhere in the MPS?</li> <li>– What is the cumulative effect of this amendment on the other directions in the MPS?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>Does the amendment make proper use of the VPP?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Does the amendment use the most appropriate VPP tool to achieve the strategic objective of the scheme?</li> <li>● Does the amendment affect, conflict with or duplicate another existing provision in the planning scheme that deals with the same land, use or development?</li> <li>● If so, have the provisions been reconciled?</li> <li>● Does the control capture matters that do not specifically relate to the purpose or objectives of the control or matters that should not be dealt with under planning?</li> <li>● Does the amendment make any existing provision in the planning scheme redundant?</li> <li>● Is the amendment consistent with any relevant planning practice note?</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The amendment uses the schedule to Clause 53.01 from the VPP toolkit to specify public open space contribution requirements. This is the best tool to govern these requirements where Council is seeking to vary the requirements specified within the subdivision act.</p>
<p>How does the amendment address the views of any relevant agency?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Have the views of any relevant agency been addressed?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>The amendment does not materially impact views or the operation of any agency within the planning scheme.</p>
<p>Does the amendment address the requirements of the <i>Transport Integration Act 2010</i> (TIA)?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Is the amendment likely to have a significant impact on the transport system as defined by section 3 of the TIA? If so, explain how the amendment addresses the transport system objectives and decision-making principles set out in Part 2, Divisions 2 and 3 of the TIA.</li> <li>● Are there any applicable statements of policy principles prepared under section 22 of the TIA? If so, assess how the amendment addresses any specified policy principles that apply to the proposal.</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>The amendment will not impact the transport system under section 3. There are no applicable statements of policy principles within section 22 of the TIA that impact the amendment.</p>

<p>What impact will the new planning provisions have on the resource and administrative costs of the responsible authority?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Has the council considered the cost implications in implementing and administering the new planning provisions including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– estimated increase in number of planning permit applications</li> <li>– planning staff resources</li> <li>– other miscellaneous costs including legal or other professional advice, for example, heritage advisers</li> <li>– capacity to consider the new application within the prescribed time?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The amendment is intended to reduce the burden of administration by clarifying policy within the planning scheme. The amendment retains the same number of planning permit triggers as before. The amendment will not increase the number of planning permit applications. It will enable assessors to spend less time interpreting the planning scheme.</p>
---	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---